AO 120 (Rev. 3/04)

то:

Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

-	*	15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a cour ecticut on the following ☐ Patent:		
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT	William Control of Con	
3:08-cv-00196-RNC	02/05/2008	Connecticut		
PLAINTIFF	KME Germany AG et al	DEFENDANT Marjan	Inc et al	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		
1 6,924,044				
2 7,147,933				
3 5,075,176				
4 6,641.930				
5				
DATE INCLUDED 8/18/08 PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. PATENT OR TRADEMARK DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK			nient Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1		See Copy of Amended Complaint (Attached)		
2				
3				
4				
5			. <u>.</u>	
In the ab	ove- entitled case, the following	decision has been rendered or judgement issued:		
DECISION/JUDGEMENT				
CLERK	[(B)) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE	
Robin D. Tabora			8/19/2008	

Page 9 of 28

49. This case is exceptional and therefore KME is entitled to an award of its attorney fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, KME respectfully requests an entry of judgment from this Court:

- A. Declaring the '044 patent to be invalid (Count I);
- В. Declaring that KME has not infringed and is not infringing the '044 patent (Count II);
- C. Declaring the '933 patent to be invalid (Count III);
- D. Declaring that KME has not infringed and is not infringing the '933 patent (Count IV);
- E. Declaring that the '044 patent is unenforceable (Count V)
- F. Declaring that the '933 patent is unenforceable (Count VI)
- G. That Marjan/SnAg has infringed the '930 patent (Count VII).
- H. That injunctions be issued restraining Marjan/SnAg, its offers, agents, servants, directors, and employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from directly or indirectly infringing, or inducing or contributing to the infringement by others of the '930 patent.
- I. Awarding KME its costs in connection with this action; and
- J. Awarding KME such other and further relief as this Court may deem to be just and proper.

PLAINTIFFS - KME GERMANY AG AND KME AMERICA, INC.

August 18, 2008

By /s/ Michael J. Donnelly
John C. Yavis, Jr. - ct04203
jyavis@murthalaw.com
Everett E. Newton - ct02508
enewton@murthalaw.com
Michael J. Donnelly - ct07974
mdonnelly@murthalaw.com

Murtha Cullina LLP CityPlace I - 185 Asylum Street Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3469 Telephone: (860) 240-6000 Facsimile: (860) 240-6150

Of Counsel:

Richard M. Rosati Elizabeth A. Gardner Matt Berkowitz Kenyon & Kenyon LLP One Broadway New York, New York 10004 Telephone: (212) 425-7200 Facsimile: (212) 425-5288

Their Attorneys

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 18, 2008, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically and served on Plaintiff's counsel via the Court's electronic filing system. Notice of this filing will be sent by e-mail to all parties by operation of the court's electronic filing system:

David S. Hoopes, Esq. Mayo Crowe LLC CityPlace II - 185 Asylum Street Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3426 Michael A. Albert, Esq. Gerald B. Hrycyszyn, Esq. Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206

/s/ Michael J. Donnelly Michael J. Donnelly

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

KME GERMANY AG and KME

AMERICA, INC.,

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiffs.

NO. 3:08-CV-00196-RNC

٧.

MARJAN, INC. and SnAg, LLC,

Defendants.

AUGUST 18, 2008

AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, KME Germany AG ("KME Germany") and KME America Inc. ("KME America") (collectively "KME"), for their Amended Complaint against defendants Marjan, Inc. ("Marjan") and SnAg, LLC ("SnAg") (collectively "Marjan/SnAg"), allege, upon knowledge as to their own acts and upon information and belief as to the acts of others, as follows:

THE PARTIES

- KME Germany is a German corporation with a principal place of business at 1. Klosterstrasse 29, 49074 Osnabrück, Germany.
- KME America is an Illinois corporation having a place of business at 1000 Jorie 2. Boulevard, Suite 111, Oak Brook, Illinois 60523. KME America is a wholly-owned subsidiary of KME Germany.
- 3. Marjan is a Connecticut corporation with a principal place of business at 44 Railroad Hill Street, Waterbury, Connecticut 06708.
- SnAg is a Connecticut limited liability company with a principal place of business 4. at 44 Railroad Hill Street, Waterbury, Connecticut 06708 and is an affiliate of Marjan.

5. Throughout many of the events described in this Complaint, Marjan and SnAg acted in concert and are referred to in that context as "Marjan/SnAg."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the 6. United States Code, in particular 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283-285, and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a), and 2201-02, as KME's request for a declaratory judgment of patent invalidity and noninfringement arises under the patent laws of the United States and the Declaratory Judgment Act.
- This Court has personal jurisdiction over Marjan and SnAg because Marjan and 7. SnAg have their principal place of business and regularly conduct business within this judicial district, as set forth herein.
 - Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), 1391(d), and 1400(b). 8.

COUNT I

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF '044 PATENT INVALIDITY

- United States Patent No. 6,924,044 ("the '044 patent"), entitled Tin-Silver 9. Coatings, issued to Richard W. Strobel ("Strobel") on August 2, 2005 and is assigned to SnAg. The '044 patent is attached as Exhibit A.
- United States Patent No. 7,147,933 ("the '933 patent"), entitled Tin-Silver 10. Coatings, issued to Strobel on December 12, 2006 based on a continuation application of the patent application that issued as the '044 patent. The '933 patent has also been assigned to SnAg, The '933 patent is attached as Exhibit B.

- 11. Stolberger Metalwerke GmbH & Co. KG ("Stolberger") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of KME Germany. Stolberger, KME and Stolberger employee Hans W. Brinkmann have been pioneers in the development of tin-silver coatings, which are used for, among other things, coating electrical connectors and other parts. These tin-silver coatings are described in United States Patent No. 5,075,176 (the "'176 patent"), which issued to Brinkmann on December 24, 1991, and is now owned by KME through its Stolberger subsidiary. Stolberger also owns United States Patent No. 6,641,930, which issued on November 4, 2003.
- 12. KME sells tin-silver coatings in the United States under the trade name STOL®. One such coating is STOL® Sn 28M. STOL® Sn 28M is a tin-silver coating containing predominantly tin and a small percentage of silver in accordance with the teachings of the '176 patent.
- 13. On September 29, 2005, KME granted a license to PMX Industries, Inc. ("PMX") to manufacture, market and sell STOL® Sn 28M in the United States. The license granted to PMX includes non-exclusive rights under the '176 patent.
- 14. On May 9, 2006, William R. Crowe, a lawyer representing SnAg, wrote to KME claiming that SnAg's '044 patent was relevant to PMX's activities under its license from KME. In this letter, Crowe described SnAg as "an affiliate of Marjan, Inc." and recommended that KME meet with Marjan/SnAg to explore a possible agreement under which KME would be free to use its technology "without having to be concerned by potential infringement claims." The clear import of Crowe's letter was that the sale of STOL® branded tin-silver coatings in the United States, whether by KME or its licensee PMX, potentially infringed the '044 patent or the then-pending '933 patent.

- 15. In response to Crowe's letter, KME met and corresponded with Margan/SnAg on several occasions during the second half of 2006 and early 2007. During these meetings and discussions, Marjan/SnAg negotiated terms under which it would license KME and PMX to practice the '044 patent and all related Marjan/SnAg patent applications and patents, including the '933 patent following its issuance in December 2006.
- During these negotiations, Marjan/SnAg asserted that KME needed a license from Marjan/SnAg under the '044 and '933 patents to avoid potential infringement by KME in its sale of STOL® branded tin-silver coatings and in licensing its technology to PMX. All parties to the negotiations understood Marjan/SnAg's tacit position that without such a license from Marjan/SnAg, KME would be unable to continue selling its STOL® branded tin-silver coatings in the United States.
- 17. During a meeting in Osnabrück, Germany on February 26-27, 2007, the parties outlined an agreement in principle on a cross-licensing agreement that would have permitted KME to continue its operations in the United States.
- 18. For several months after the Osnabrück meeting, the parties continued to negotiate terms of a cross-licensing agreement consistent with the principles agreed upon during the Osnabrück meeting. By mid-2007, however, Marjan/SnAg began to stall and insist on new terms for a license of the '044 and '933 patents.
- 19. In November 2007, Marjan/SnAg repudiated the principles agreed upon during the Osnabrück meeting. At that time, Marjan/SnAg acknowledged to KME that soon after the Osnabrück meeting, Marjan/SnAg had concluded that it did not intend to come to a final licensing agreement with KME in accordance with the principles agreed upon during the Osnabrück meeting.

- Marjan/SnAg's new terms for a license of its '044 and '933 patents are 20. unacceptable to KME, and the parties are at an impasse.
- KME is now in a position where it will be forced either to accept Marjan/SnAg's 21. new terms or to risk alleged infringement of the '044 and '933 patents by continuing to sell and license its STOL® branded coatings, including STOL® Sn 28M, in the United States.
- 22. An actual and justiciable controversy exists between KME and Marjan/SnAg with respect to the '044 and '933 patents.
- 23. The '044 patent is invalid for failure to comply with requirements of Title 35 U.S.C., including one or more of §§ 101, 102, 103 and 112.

COUNT II

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF '044 PATENT NONINFRINGEMENT

- 24. KME repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-22 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 25. KME has not infringed, and is not infringing, either directly or indirectly by inducement or contributory infringement, any claim of the '044 patent by making, using, offering to sell, or selling in the United States or importing into the United States, its STOL® branded tinsilver coatings or by licensing PMX to do the same.
- 26. Marjan/SnAg is barred by prosecution history estoppel from asserting infringement of one or more claims of the '044 patent.

COUNT III

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF '933 PATENT INVALIDITY

- KME repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-22 above as if fully 27. set forth herein.
- 28. The '933 patent is invalid for failure to comply with requirements of Title 35 U.S.C., including one ore more of §§ 101, 102, 103 and 112.

COUNT IV

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF 933 PATENT NONINFRINGEMENT

- 29. KME repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-22 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. KME has not infringed, and is not infringing, either directly or indirectly by inducement or contributory infringement, any claim of the '933 patent by making, using, offering to sell or selling in the United States or importing into the United States, its tin-silver coatings, or by licensing PMX to do the same.
- 31. Marjan/SnAg is barred by prosecution history estoppel from asserting infringement of one or more claims of the '933 patent.

COUNT V

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF UNENFORCEABILITY OF THE '044 PATENT

- 32. KME repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-22 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. On information and belief, during prosecution of the '044 patent, Marjan/SnAg, its employees, agents and/or representatives deliberately engaged in a pattern of conduct that was

misleading and calculated to mislead the United States Patent Office (the "PTO") into granting the '044 patent coverage to which Marjan/SnAg was not entitled.

- 34. On information and belief, Marjan/SnAg, its employees, agents, and/or representatives deliberately submitted misleading declarations to the PTO regarding the properties of the prior art and of the claimed invention.
- 35. The foregoing activities were material and intended by Marjan/SnAg and those substantively involved in the prosecution of the '044 patent to mislead the PTO. As a result, the '044 patent is unenforceable by reason of inequitable conduct.

COUNT VI

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF UNENFORCEABILITY OF THE '933 PATENT

- 36. KME repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-22 and 32-36 above as if fully set forth herein,
- 37. On information and belief, during prosecution of the '933 patent, Marian/SnAg and others substantively involved in the prosecution of the '933 patent deliberately engaged in a pattern of conduct that was misleading and calculated to mislead the United States Patent Office (the "PTO") into granting the '933 patent coverage to which Marjan/SnAg was not entitled.
- 38. On information and belief, Marjan/SnAg its employees, agents and/or representatives, deliberately submitted misleading declarations to the PTO regarding the properties of the prior art and of the claimed invention.
- 39. Additionally, on information and belief, Marjan/SnAg its employees, agents and/or representatives submitted misleading information to the PTO regarding testing of the claimed invention and the timeframe of the same.

40. The foregoing activities were material and intended by Marjan/SnAg and those substantively involved in the prosecution of the '933 patent to mislead the PTO. As a result, the '933 patent is unenforceable by reason of inequitable conduct.

COUNT VII

INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT 6,641,930

- 41. KME repeats and re-alleges the allegations of paragraphs 1-8 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. KME is the owner of all right, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 6,641,930 (the "'930 patent." Exhibit C hereto), which duly and legally issued on November 4, 2003.
- 43. Marjan/SnAg has made in the United States, offered for sale in the United States, and sold in the United States tin-silver coated substrates ("Infringing Product").
- 44. Marjan/SnAg has been and still is infringing, inducing infringement and/or contributing to infringement of the '930 patent by at least making, selling, and offering for sale its Infringing Product and will continue to do so unless enjoined by this Court.
- 45. Marjan/SnAg's activities have been performed without express or implied license by KME.
- 46. On information and belief, such infringement by Marjan/SnAg has been and continues to be willful.
- 47. As a result of Marjan/SnAg's acts of infringement, KME has suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 48. KME has been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by Marjan/SnAg's infringement, inducement of infringement, and/or contributory infringement for which there is no adequate remedy at law.